

Q-CHARACTERS OF THE TENSOR PRODUCTS IN $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -CASE

B.FEIGIN AND .FEIGIN

ABSTRACT. Let $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n$ be an irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules. Using the theory of the representations of the current algebras, we introduce a several ways to construct a q -grading on $\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n$. We study the corresponding graded modules and prove, that they are essentially the same.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we develop a geometrical approach to the theory of q -characters of the tensor products. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{h}$ -Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\dim \mathfrak{h} = k$. Let $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n$ be an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. There is a natural decomposition of $\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n$:

$$\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n)_{\gamma},$$

where Γ is a weight lattice. Usual character is a generating function of the numbers $\dim(\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n)_{\gamma}$:

$$ch(z_1, \dots, z_k, \pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n) = \sum_{\gamma} z_1^{\gamma_1} \dots z_k^{\gamma_k} \dim(\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n)_{\gamma},$$

where $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)$. Suppose, there is some reasonable way to decompose the spaces $(\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n)_{\gamma}$:

$$(\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n)_{\gamma} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n)_{\gamma, i}.$$

Then we can introduce the q -character:

$$ch(q, z_1, \dots, z_k, \pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n) = \sum_{\gamma, i} q^i z_1^{\gamma_1} \dots z_k^{\gamma_k} \dim(\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n)_{\gamma, i}.$$

There are many natural ways to introduce the q -characters (see [3], [4]). Let us briefly describe the method from [1]. It is based on the representation theory of the current algebras $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$. Let π be $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Consider the homomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\tau_z : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad \tau_z(g \otimes t^i) = z^i g, \quad g \in \mathfrak{g}, z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then we can define an action of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ on π in the following way: $\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^i \cdot v = \tau_z(\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^i) \cdot v$. We denote this so-called evaluation representation by $\pi(z)$.

Now, let $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n$ be an irreducible finite-dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{g}$, $Z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with pairwise distinct z_i (denote this subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$ by Σ). In this case $\pi_1(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n(z_n)$ is irreducible representation of the current algebra

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A30; Secondary 17B35.

Key words and phrases. Universal enveloping algebra, representation theory, current algebra, Gordon's formula.

$\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$. Fix an arbitrary element $u \in \pi_1(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n(z_n)$. Note, that the algebra $U = U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])$ is graded by the degree of t : $U = \bigoplus_i U_i$. Thus, we have a filtration F_s on $\pi_1(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n(z_n)$: $F_s = (\bigoplus_{i=0}^s U_i) \cdot u$. Then $\bigoplus_s F_{s+1}/F_s$ is a graded $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -module. Denote it by $\pi_1 * \dots * \pi_n$. As u is cyclic,

$$\dim(\pi_1 * \dots * \pi_n) = \dim(\pi_1(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n(z_n))$$

and moreover, $\pi_1 * \dots * \pi_n$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n$ as $\mathfrak{g}$ -module ($\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$). Thus, we introduced an extra grading on $\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n$ and defined the corresponding q -character.

Our construction, a priori, depends on the choice of Z and u . Following [1], let u be a product of the highest vectors of π_i .

As u is cyclic,

$$\pi_1(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n(z_n) \cong U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])/\bar{I}(Z),$$

where $\bar{I}(Z)$ is a left ideal in the algebra $U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])$. Now we can formulate the following conjecture:

Conjecture. 1). The family of ideals $\bar{I}(Z)$, $Z \in \Sigma$ can be continuously extended to any point $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

2). Let $\bar{I}(0)$ be an extension to $(0, \dots, 0)$. Then there is an isomorphism of the $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -modules:

$$\pi_1 * \dots * \pi_n \cong U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])/\bar{I}(0).$$

We will prove this conjecture in the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. (Note, that a special case of this situation can be found in [7]).

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ be Cartan decomposition with $\mathfrak{n}_-$ annihilating the highest vectors of π_i . If $Z \in \Sigma$, then $\pi_1(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n(z_n)$ is a cyclic $\mathfrak{n}_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -module with cyclic vector u . Note, that our conjecture follows from the similar one for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, $\mathfrak{n}_+$ is spanned by the vector e . Then

$$U(\mathfrak{n}_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]) = \mathbb{C}[e_0, e_1, \dots], \quad e_i = e \otimes t^i.$$

Note, that if $Z \in \Sigma$, then $\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n$ is cyclic as $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$ -module. So, we will consider not $\bar{I}(Z)$, but similarly defined ideals $I(Z) \subset \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$. To be specific,

$$\pi_1(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n(z_n) \cong \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I(Z)$$

as a representations of an abelian Lie algebra, spanned by $e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}$ (denote it by $\mathfrak{A}_n$). It is easy to see, that the following elements generates $I(Z)$:

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i^k e_i \right)^{a_k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $a_k = \dim \pi_k$, and α_i^k are the coefficients of degree n polynomial P_k , with a following property: $P_k(z_i) = \delta_{ik}$.

In order to study $I(Z)$, we define another family of ideals $J(Z)$, $Z \in \Sigma$, in the ring $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$, with a "dual" generators:

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} z_k^i e_i \right)^{a_k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

We show, that the family $J(Z)$ can be also extended to any point $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Connection between $J(0)$ and $I(0)$ is given by the formula: $\text{opp}(J(0)) = I(0)$, where opp is $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$ -automorphism, $\text{opp}(e_i) = e_{n-1-i}$.

Let $\pi_1 = \dots = \pi_n = \mathbb{C}^k$. Then it is possible to describe $J(0)$ in a following way. Let J^k be an ideal in the ring $\mathbb{C}[e_0, e_1, \dots]$, generated by the coefficients of the series $e(z)^k$, where $e(z)$ is a generating function: $e(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e_i z^i$ (ideal J^k comes from the representation theory of the Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$). We state, that

$$J(0) = J^k \cap \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}].$$

Recall, that $I(0)$ was defined as a limit of $I(Z)$, $Z \rightarrow 0$, $Z \in \Sigma$. But it is possible to define all the ideals $I(Z)$, $Z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ at once (to be specific, not $I(Z)$, but the quotients $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I(Z)$). Let us briefly describe the corresponding construction (call it functional).

Let F be the space of $W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_n$ -valued polynomials $f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$, W_i are linear spaces. For all pairs $i < j$ fix a filtration on $W_i \otimes W_j$:

$$V^{(i,j)}(0) \subset V^{(i,j)}(1) \subset \dots \subset V^{(i,j)}(p_{i,j}) = W_i \otimes W_j$$

$(V^{(i,j)}(k))$ are the subspaces of $W_i \otimes W_j$. Let

$$L^{(i,j)} = W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{W_i} \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{W_j} \otimes \dots \otimes W_n.$$

Define a map $\sigma^{(i,j)} : W_i \otimes W_j \otimes L^{(i,j)} \rightarrow W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_n$:

$$\sigma_{i,j}(v_i \otimes v_j \otimes v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{v_i} \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{v_j} \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) = (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_i \otimes \dots \otimes v_j \otimes \dots \otimes v_n).$$

Consider F^c -a subspace of F , which consists of such polynomials f , that for any pair $i < j$ and for any k

$$\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial z_i^k} \in \sigma^{(i,j)}(V^{(i,j)}(k) \otimes L^{(i,j)}), \text{ if } z_i = z_j.$$

Now, let $W_i = \mathbb{C}^{a_i}$, $a_i = \dim \pi_i$. We need a special filtration on $\mathbb{C}^{a_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_j}$. Let

$$\mathbb{C}^{a_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_j} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\min(a_i, a_j)-1} \mathbb{C}^{a_i+a_j-1-2k}$$

be a decomposition of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module on the irreducible components. Denote

$$V^{(i,j)}(l) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^l \mathbb{C}^{a_i+a_j-1-2k}.$$

Let us construct the space F^c using this filtrations. Now we define a structure of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -module on F^c . Note, that $F = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{C}[z_i] \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_i})$. There is an action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ on $\mathbb{C}[z_i] \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_i}$: $at^k \cdot (f \otimes v) = (z_i^k f) \otimes av$. So, we have $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -action on F . It is easy to show, that F^c is submodule in F . In addition, F^c is a free $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ -module, $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ acts by multiplication.

Let $T = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $R(T)$ is an ideal in $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$, generated by the polynomials $z_i - t_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Define $M(T) = F^c / R(T)F^c$. Note, that $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -action on F^c commutes with $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ -action. So, $M(T)$ is also $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -module.

Recall, that $\mathfrak{A}_n$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$, spanned by $e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}$. We will prove, that for all $T \in \mathbb{C}^n$ $M(T) \cong \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I(T)$ as $\mathfrak{A}_n$ -modules. Moreover,

$$M(0, \dots, 0) \cong \pi_1 * \dots * \pi_n$$

as $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -modules.

Note, that the space F^c appears in the representation theory of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ as a space of the correlation functions of some vertex operators. Special case of such situation can be found in [6].

Our paper is organized in the following way:

In the second section we describe the ideal $J(0)$, i.e. we write down its generators system (definition 2.2.). We prove, that $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} J(T) = J(0)$ (theorem 2.1.). As a consequence, we obtain a recurrent relation for the character of $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/J(0)$ (corollary 2.3.) and an equality $J(0) = J^k \cap \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$ (proposition 2.3.) (note, that the recurrent relation was obtained in [1] by the different way). In addition, we show, that $I(0) = \text{opp}(J(0))$ (proposition 2.4.).

In the third section we prove the equality $\pi_1 * \dots * \pi_n = \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I(0)$ (theorem 3.1.).

The fourth section consists of the description of the functional model of the quotient $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I(Z)$. The main statement is the theorem 4.1.

And in the last section, using the recurrent relation, we obtain the formula (Gordon like) for the character of the space $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/J(0)$ (theorem 5.1.). One can see from the formula, that our characters can be expressed in terms of the supernomial coefficients from [3],[4].

2. IDEALS AND QUOTIENTS

2.1. Definitions and main statements. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $e_0, e_1, \dots$ -commuting variables. Define generating functions $e(z)$ and $e^{(n)}(z)$ by the following way:

$$e(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e_i z^i, \quad e^{(n)}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_i z^i.$$

Let $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $T = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, t_i are pairwise distinct.

Definition 2.1. Let $J^{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = J^A(T)$ be an ideal in $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$, generated by the elements $e^{(n)}(t_i)^{a_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Define the quotient

$$W^A(T) = W^{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/J^A(T).$$

Let $\Sigma = \{T \in \mathbb{C}^n : \forall i \neq j \ t_i \neq t_j\}$. If $T \in \Sigma$, then

$$W^A(T) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}[e^{(n)}(t_i)]/(e^{(n)}(t_i))^{a_i}.$$

Our aim is to study the limit of ideals $J^A(T)$, $T \rightarrow 0$.

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$. We will write $p \div q$, if there exists $r \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ such, that $p = qr$ (i.e. p is divisible on q).

Define a map $+: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $s \mapsto s_+$, where $s_+ = s$, if $s > 0$, $s_+ = 0$ otherwise.

Definition 2.2. J^A -ideal in $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$, generated by the elements

$$\sum_{p_1 + \dots + p_i = s, 0 \leq p_\alpha < n} e_{p_1} \dots e_{p_i}$$

for all $i \geq 0$, $0 \leq s < \sum_{p=1}^n (i+1-a_p)_+$. In other words, the following equality is true in the quotient $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/J^A$:

$$e^{(n)}(z)^i \div z^{\sum_{p=1}^n (i+1-a_p)_+}.$$

Denote $W^A = \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/J^A$.

Theorem 2.1. $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} J^A(T) = J^A$.

In other words, our theorem claims, that for any way $T(\varepsilon) = (t_1(\varepsilon), \dots, t_n(\varepsilon)) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} t_i(\varepsilon) = 0$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J^A(T(\varepsilon)) = J^A$.

Proposition 2.1. $\dim W^{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} = \prod_{l=1}^n a_l$.

Note, that the proposition 2.1. is a corollary from the theorem 2.1. But this two statements will be proved simultaneously.

In our paper we also use the following (z, q) -bigrading of the ring $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$: $\deg_z e_i = 1, \deg_q e_i = i$.

2.2. Dual spaces. We will need the description of the dual spaces to our algebras. Let J be a homogeneous ideal (with respect to the z -grading) in the ring $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$, $A = \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/J$. Then $A = \bigoplus_k A^k$, where k is z -degree. Let $\theta \in (A^k)^*$. Consider a map $(A^k)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_k]$,

$$\theta \mapsto f_\theta(z_1, \dots, z_k) = \sum_{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k < n} z_1^{i_1} \dots z_k^{i_k} \theta(e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_k}).$$

Thus, f_θ is a polynomial in k variables and $\deg_{z_i} f < n$ for all i . Note, that by this way we identify the space A^* with some subspace of polynomials. Let us formulate two statements-descriptions of this subspace for the ideals, defined earlier.

Let $T = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$, $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

Statement 2.1. $J = J^A(T)$. Then $(W^A(T))^*$ is a sum of the spaces of polynomials $f(z_1, \dots, z_k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$, which satisfy the following conditions:

- 1). f is symmetric.
- 2). $\deg_{z_j} f < n$, $j = 1, \dots, k$.
- 3). $f(\underbrace{t_j, \dots, t_j}_{a_j}, z_{a_j+1}, \dots, z_k) = 0$, for all $j: a_j \leq k$.

Statement 2.2. $J = J^A$. Then $(W^A)^*$ is a sum of the spaces of polynomials $f(z_1, \dots, z_k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$, which satisfy the following conditions:

- 1). f is symmetric.
- 2). $\deg_{z_j} f < n$, $j = 1, \dots, k$.
- 3). $f(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_i, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_k) \div z^{\sum_{p=1}^n (i+1-a_p)_+}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Let us prove the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, $T(\varepsilon) \in \Sigma$ if $\varepsilon \neq 0$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T(\varepsilon) = 0$. Let*

$$\theta(\varepsilon) \in W^A(T(\varepsilon))^*, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f_{\theta(\varepsilon)} = f_{\theta}.$$

Then $\theta \in (W^A)^$.*

Proof. Let

$$g(z, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_l) = f_{\theta(\varepsilon)}(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_i, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_l).$$

Condition

$$f(\underbrace{t_j(\varepsilon), \dots, t_j(\varepsilon)}_{a_j}, z_{a_j+1}, \dots, z_l) = 0$$

gives us $g \div (z - t_j(\varepsilon))^{(i+1-a_j)_+}$ (all the corresponding derivatives of g are vanishing at $t_j(\varepsilon)$). Therefore, $g \div \prod_{j=1}^n (z - t_j(\varepsilon))^{(i+1-a_j)_+}$. Now let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then we will obtain the condition 3) from the statement 2.2 for f_{θ} . $\square$

Corollary 2.1. $\dim W^A \geq \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary family $T(\varepsilon) \in \Sigma$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} t_i(\varepsilon) = 0$. Then

$$\dim \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (W^A(T(\varepsilon)))^* = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i.$$

But this limit belongs to $(W^A)^*$. $\square$

2.3. Proof of the theorem 2.1. We know, that $\dim(W^{a_1, \dots, a_n})^* \geq \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$. The main goal of this subsection is to show, that

$$\dim(W^{a_1, \dots, a_n})^* \leq \prod_{i=1}^n a_i.$$

We prove this statement by the induction on $\sum a_i$. As a consequence, we obtain the theorem 2.1.

Let $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{n+1}$. Denote

$$A = (a_1, \dots, a_n), Aa_{n+1} = (a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}).$$

Lemma 2.2. *Natural embedding $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_n]$ induces the embedding $J^A \hookrightarrow J^{Aa_{n+1}}$.*

Proof. It is necessary to show, that in $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_n]/J^{Aa_{n+1}}$

$$e^{(n)}(z)^i \div z^{\sum_{p=1}^n (i+1-a_p)_+}.$$

In fact,

$$e^{(n)}(z)^i = (e^{(n+1)}(z) - z^n e_n)^i = \sum_{j=0}^i e^{(n+1)}(z)^j z^{n(i-j)} \binom{i}{j} e_n^{i-j} (-1)^{i-j}.$$

Note, that

$$e^{(n+1)}(z)^j z^{n(i-j)} \div z^{n(i-j) + \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} (j+1-a_p)_+}.$$

But

$$n(i-j) + \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} (j+1-a_p)_+ \geq \sum_{p=1}^n (i+1-a_p) + (j+1-a_{n+1})_+ \geq \sum_{p=1}^n (i+1-a_p)_+$$

(because $i-j+(j+1-a_p)_+ \geq (i+1-a_p)_+ \Rightarrow$ lemma is proved. $\square$)

As a consequence, we obtain a surjection $W^A \rightarrow W_n^{Aa_{n+1}}$, where $W_n^{Aa_{n+1}}$ is a part of $W^{Aa_{n+1}}$, generated by the action of $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$ on 1.

Suppose, that we know, that $\dim(W^A) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$. We want to show that

$$\dim W^{Aa_{n+1}} / W_n^{Aa_{n+1}} \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right) a_{n+1} - \prod_{i=1}^n a_i.$$

Surely, it gives us $\dim W^{Aa_{n+1}} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i$.

Denote $W = W^{Aa_{n+1}}$, $W_n = W_n^{Aa_{n+1}}$. Consider a linear space $P = (W/W_n)^*$, i.e. the space of $f_\theta(z_1, \dots, z_l) \in W^*$, $\theta(x) = 0 \ \forall x \in W_n$. Let us prove the following proposition:

Proposition 2.2. *There exists an injection $\phi : P \hookrightarrow (W^{(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}-1)})^*$.*

Proof. Let $f(z_1, \dots, z_{l+1}) \in P$. Then f doesn't contain a monomials

$$z_1^{i_1} \dots z_{l+1}^{i_{l+1}}, i_p < n \text{ for all } p = 1, \dots, l+1.$$

So, f can be uniquely represented as a sum

$$(*) \quad f(z_1, \dots, z_{l+1}) = \sum_{p=1}^{l+1} z_p^n g(z_1, \dots, \widehat{z_p}, \dots, z_{l+1}).$$

Define $\phi(f) = g$. We need to prove, that $g(z_1, \dots, z_l)$ satisfies the condition

$$(**) \quad g(\underbrace{z_1, \dots, z_k}_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_l) \div z^{\sum_{p=1}^n (k+1-a_p)_+ + (k+1-(a_{n+1}-1))_+}.$$

Define

$$b_i = \#\{j : a_j = i\} \ (1 \leq j \leq n+1).$$

Let $s = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} a_i$. Then $b_{>s} = 0$.

Rewrite (**) in terms of b_i :

$$(1) \quad g(\underbrace{z_1, \dots, z_k}_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_l) \div z^{\sum_{p=1}^k (k+1-p)b_p}, \ k < s-1,$$

$$(2) \quad g(\underbrace{z_1, \dots, z_k}_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_l) \div z^{1 + \sum_{p=1}^k (k+1-p)b_p}, \ k \geq s-1.$$

We will prove (1) by the increasing induction from $k = 1$ to $k = s-2$ and (2) by the decreasing induction from $k = l$ to $k = s-1$.

Recall, that for $f(z_1, \dots, z_{l+1}) \in (W/W_n)^*$

$$(3) \quad f(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_{l+1}) \div z^{\sum_{p=1}^k (k+1-p)b_p}.$$

Using (3) and (*) one can check the correctness of (1) for $k = 1$, i.e. that

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_l) \div (z_1 \dots z_l)^{b_1}.$$

Suppose (1) is true for $k, k < s - 1$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_{k+1}, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_{l+1}) &= \\ &= (k+1)z^n g(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_k, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_{l+1}) + \sum_{p=k+2}^{l+1} z_p^n g(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_{k+1}, \dots, \widehat{z_p}, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

We know, that

$$z^n g(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_k, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_{l+1}) \div z^{n + \sum_{m=1}^k (k+1-m)b_m}.$$

But for $k < s - 1$

$$n + \sum_{m=1}^k (k+1-m)b_m \geq \sum_{m=1}^{k+1} (k+2-m)b_m,$$

because $\sum_{i=1}^s b_i = n + 1$ and $b_s > 0$. Thus, for

$$H = \sum_{p=k+2}^{l+1} z_p^n g(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_{k+1}, \dots, \widehat{z_p}, \dots)$$

we have:

$$H(z, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_{l+1}) \div z^{\sum_{m=1}^{k+1} (k+2-m)b_m}.$$

Let

$$h(z, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_{l+1}) = z_{l+1}^n g(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_{k+1}, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_l).$$

Then

$$h = \sum_{i=0}^r z^i h_i(z_{k+2}, \dots, z_{l+1}).$$

One can see, that

$$H(z, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_{l+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^r z^i \text{Sym}(h_i)(z_{k+2}, \dots, z_{l+1}),$$

where $\text{Sym}(h_i)$ is the symmetrization of h_i . Thus

$$\text{Sym}(h_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i < \sum_{m=1}^{k+1} (k+2-m)b_m.$$

One can see, that it follows, that for h_i we also have

$$h_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i < \sum_{m=1}^{k+1} (k+2-m)b_m.$$

Thus

$$z_{l+1}^n g(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_{k+1}, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_l) \div z^{\sum_{m=1}^{k+1} (k+2-m)b_m}.$$

Relation (1) is proved.

Now let us prove (2). Suppose $l+1 \geq s$. We have

$$f(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_{l+1}) = (l+1)z^n g(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_l).$$

So

$$z^n g(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_l) \div z^{\sum_{m=1}^{l+1} (l+2-m)b_m}.$$

But $l+1 \geq s$, so $\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} b_i = n+1$ and

$$\sum_{m=1}^{l+1} (l+2-m)b_m - n = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^l (l+1-m)b_m.$$

Thus

$$g(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_l) \div z^{1 + \sum_{m=1}^l (l+1-m)b_m}.$$

Now, let $k \geq s-1$ and suppose we know (2) for $k+1$. Using the relation

$$\begin{aligned} f(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_{k+1}, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_{l+1}) &= \\ &= (k+1)z^n g(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_k, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_{l+1}) + \sum_{p=k+2}^{l+1} z_p^n g(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_{k+1}, \dots, \widehat{z_p}, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

we obtain:

$$z^n g(\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_k, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_{l+1}) \div z^{\sum_{m=1}^{k+1} (k+2-m)b_m}.$$

As above, using the condition $k+1 \geq s$ we obtain (2) for k .

Thus, we proved (1) and (2). It gives us (**) for an arbitrary k . Proposition is proved. $\square$

Remark 2.1. In fact, the same arguments (as in above proof) gives us, that our injection is an isomorphism.

Proof of the proposition 2.1. $(\dim W^{a_1, \dots, a_n} = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i)$

From the proposition 2.2.

$$\dim W^{a_1, \dots, a_n} \leq \dim W^{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}} + \dim W^{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n-1}.$$

Using the induction on $\sum a_i$ (taking into account correctness of the proposition 2.1. for $a_i = 1, i = 1, \dots, n$), we obtain

$$\dim W^{a_1, \dots, a_n} \leq \prod_{i=1}^n a_i.$$

Proposition is proved.

Corollary 2.2. $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} J^{a_1, \dots, a_n}(T) = J^{a_1, \dots, a_n}$, i.e. theorem 2.1. is correct.

Since J^A is homogeneous with respect to the (q, z) bigrading, W^A is bigraded. Define

$$ch(a_1, \dots, a_n, q, z) = \sum_{k, s \geq 0} \dim(W^{a_1, \dots, a_n})^{k, s} z^k q^s.$$

Corollary 2.3.

$$ch(a_1, \dots, a_n, q, z) = ch(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, q, z) + zq^{n-1}ch(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n - 1, q, z).$$

2.4. **Case** $a_1 = \dots = a_n$. Let $a_1 = \dots = a_n = k, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote $J_n^k = J^{a_1, \dots, a_n}$.

Lemma 2.3. $J_n^k \hookrightarrow J_{n+1}^k$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence from lemma 2.2. □

Let J^k be an ideal in $\mathbb{C}[e_0, e_1, \dots]$, $J^k = \bigcup_n J_n^k$ (we regard $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$ as a subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[e_0, e_1, \dots]$).

Proposition 2.3. J^k is generated by the elements

$$\sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_k = s, i_j \geq 0} e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_k}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots$$

In other words J^k is generated by the coefficients of the series $e(z)^k$.

Proof. Let $J(k)$ be an ideal, generated by the coefficients of $e(z)^k$. Our goal is to show, that $J^k = J(k)$. Note, that $J(k) \subset J^k$, since

$$e(z)^k - e^{(n)}(z)^k \div z^{n-1}.$$

Let $c = -\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} z^i e_{n+i}$. If $e(z)^k = 0$, then for $i \geq k$ we have:

$$e^{(n)}(z)^i = (e(z) + z^n c)^i = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \binom{i}{j} e(z)^j z^{n(i-j)} c^{i-j}.$$

Thus, we obtain $e^{(n)}(z)^k \div z^{n(i-k+1)}$ as a consequence of $e(z)^k = 0$. It means, that $J^k \subset J(k)$. □

2.5. **One more family of ideals.** Consider an algebra B :

$$B = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}[y_i] / (y_i^{a_i}), \quad a_i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $Z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Consider $e_k \in B, e_k = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^k y_i$. It is clear, that if $Z \in \Sigma$, then $e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}$ generates B . So

$$B = \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}] / I(Z),$$

where $I(Z)$ is an ideal in the ring $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$. We want to study an ideal $I(0) = I(0, \dots, 0) = \lim_{Z \rightarrow 0} I(Z)$. Define the homomorphism

$$\text{opp} : \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}], \quad e_i \mapsto e_{n-1-i}.$$

For $M \subset \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$ denote the image $\text{opp}(M)$ as M^{opp} . Let $J(0) = J^{a_1, \dots, a_n}$.

Proposition 2.4. *There exists $I(0) = \lim_{Z \rightarrow 0} I(Z)$ and $I(0) = J(0)^{\text{opp}}$.*

Proof. Recall, that $J(0) = \lim_{Z \rightarrow 0} J(Z)$, where $J(Z)$ is generated by the elements

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} z_k^i e_i \right)^{a_k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

To prove our proposition, we construct the family of the isomorphisms

$$\phi_Z : \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}], \quad Z \in \Sigma$$

with a property $\phi_Z(I(Z)) = J(Z)^{\text{opp}}$ and $\lim_{Z \rightarrow 0} \phi_Z = \text{id}$. Let

$$\phi_Z(y_\alpha) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} z_\alpha^i e_{n-1-i} \right) s_\alpha, \quad s_\alpha = \frac{1}{\prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} (z_\beta - z_\alpha)}$$

(if z_i are pairwise distinct, then the transition matrix from e_i to y_j is invertable, so it is enough to define ϕ_Z on y_α). One can see, that ϕ_Z identifies $I(Z)$ and $J(Z)^{\text{opp}}$. We have:

$$\phi_Z(e_i) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \phi_Z(z_\alpha^i y_\alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e_j \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n z_\alpha^{n-1+i-j} s_\alpha \right).$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\rho(l) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z_\alpha^l s_\alpha$. Then $\rho(l)$ equals:*

- 1). 0, $l = 0, \dots, n-2$.
- 2). 1, $l = n-1$.
- 3). $p(z_1, \dots, z_n)$, $l > n-1$, where $p(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of a positive degree.

Proof. Rational function $\rho(l)$ can be rewritten in a form:

$$\rho(l) = \frac{q(z_1, \dots, z_n)}{\prod_{\alpha < \beta} (z_\alpha - z_\beta)},$$

where q is a polynomial. Note, that $\rho(l)$ is a symmetric function. So, q is a skewsymmetric polynomial:

$$q(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \prod_{\alpha < \beta} (z_\alpha - z_\beta) r(z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

To obtain 1), it is enough to mention, that $\deg r = l - (n-1)$. If $l = n-1$ then $r = \text{const}$. One can prove, that $r = 1$. 3) is obvious. $\square$

Thus,

$$\phi_Z(e_i) = e_i + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} p_j(z_1, \dots, z_n) e_j,$$

where p_j are polynomials, $\deg p_j = i-j \Rightarrow \lim_{Z \rightarrow 0} \phi_Z = \text{id}$. Proposition is proved. $\square$

2.6. Extension to an arbitrary point. Recall, that we extended an easy defined family of ideals $I(Z)$, $Z \in \Sigma$ to the point $(0, \dots, 0)$. As a corollary, we can also describe the continuous extension to an arbitrary point $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (in some sense, $(0, \dots, 0)$ is the most "expectional" point). The description of our extension is given in the following statements.

Statement 2.3. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $I(c^n) = I(\underbrace{c, \dots, c}_n) = \lim_{z_i \rightarrow c} I(Z)$ (as usually, z_i are pairwise distinct). Then there is an isomorphism of algebras

$$\psi : \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I(c^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I(0),$$

defined by $\psi(e_i) = \sum_{j=0}^i c^j \binom{i}{j} e_{i-j}$ (ψ is a shift).

Denote $W_n(c) = \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I(c^n)$.

Statement 2.4. Let $Z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$z_1 = \dots = z_{\alpha_1} = t_1, \dots, z_{n-\alpha_k+1} = \dots = z_n = t_k,$$

$t_1, \dots, t_k$ are pairwise distinct, α_i is the number of appearance of t_i in Z . Define $I(Z)$ as a limit of $I(\tilde{Z})$, all $\tilde{z}_i$ are pairwise distinct, $\tilde{z}_i \rightarrow z_i$. Then $I(Z)$ is well defined and

$$\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I(Z) \cong W_{\alpha_1}(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes W_{\alpha_k}(t_k).$$

Thus, we have constructed two family of ideals, depending on the point from $\mathbb{C}^n$, or (in other words) two $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i$ -dimensional bundles of factoralgebras on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

3. REPRESENTATIONS OF AN ABELIAN LIE ALGEBRAS AND FUSION PRODUCT

Recall the construction of a fusion product from [1]. Let π be a representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ define an action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ on π :

$$at^k \cdot v = z^k a \cdot v \quad \forall a \in \mathfrak{sl}_2, v \in \pi.$$

This $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -module is called an evaluation representation. Denote it by $\pi(z)$. Now, let $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n$ be an irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, $\dim \pi_i = a_i$. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ with a base $e_0, e_1, \dots$ ($e_i = e \otimes t^i$). One can check, that for $Z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \Sigma$

$$\pi_1(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n(z_n)$$

is a cyclic $\mathfrak{A}$ -module with a cyclic vector $u = u_1 \otimes \dots \otimes u_n$ (u_i is a highest vector of π_i). Introduce an increasing filtration F_s on $\bigotimes_i \pi_i(z_i)$:

$$F_s = \langle e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_k} u, k = 0, 1, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^k i_j \leq s \rangle.$$

Definition 3.1. Graded $\mathfrak{A}$ -module

$$\pi_1 * \dots * \pi_n = F_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} F_{s+1}/F_s$$

is called a fusion product of $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n$.

One can see, that in fact fusion product is graded $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -module.

Let $\mathfrak{A}_n$ be a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ with a base $e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}$. We will prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. $\pi_1 * \dots * \pi_n \cong \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I(0)$ as $\mathfrak{A}_n$ -modules.

Note, that we used a point $Z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ to construct the fusion product. The important consequence from the theorem is a fact, that the structure of $\mathfrak{A}_n$ -module on $\pi_1 * \dots * \pi_n$ doesn't depend on Z . (One can obtain from the theorem 3.1., that the structure of $\pi_1 * \dots * \pi_n$ as $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -module doesn't depend on Z).

To connect $I(0)$ and the fusion product, let us redefine the latter in terms of commutative algebra. Let $y_1, \dots, y_n$ be a commuting variables, $y_i^{a_i} = 0$, $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider an algebra

$$\tilde{B} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}[y_i]/y_i^{a_i}.$$

For $Z \in \Sigma$ define an action of $e_i, i = 0, \dots, n-1$ on $\tilde{B}$ by multiplication on $\sum_{\alpha=1}^n z_{\alpha}^i y_{\alpha}$. Then, $\tilde{B} \cong \pi_1(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n(z_n)$ as a $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$ -module. Thus, we obtain a filtration F_s on $\tilde{B}$. One can see, that it is compatible with a structure of an algebra. Consider an adjoint graded algebra B . Our aim is to prove, that $B \cong \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I(0)$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $W = \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I$, where I is homogeneous with a respect to the z -grading. Introduce a filtration F_s on W :

$$F_s = \langle e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_k}, k = 0, 1, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^k i_j \leq s \rangle.$$

Then $GrW = \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I^{up}$, where

$$I^{up} = \langle a : \exists b \in I, b = b_0 + \dots + b_s, \deg_q b_l = l, b_s = a \rangle.$$

The proof is obvious.

We described the changing of the ideal while transferring to an adjoint object: $I \rightsquigarrow I^{up}$. Now we will prove the following lemma in order to connect $I(Z)^{up}$ and $\lim_{Z \rightarrow 0} I(Z)$.

Lemma 3.2. Let S_t be oneparameter group of homomorphisms

$$S_t : \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}], \quad S_t(e_i) = t^i e_i, t \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Then:

- 1). $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t I(Z) = I(Z)^{up}$
- 2). $S_t I(Z) = I\left(\frac{Z}{t}\right) = I\left(\frac{z_1}{t}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{t}\right)$

Proof. At first, let us prove 2). Recall, that

$$e_i = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z_{\alpha}^i y_{\alpha} \Rightarrow$$

$$S_t(e_i) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z_{\alpha}^i S_t(y_{\alpha}) \Leftrightarrow t^i e_i = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z_{\alpha}^i S_t(y_{\alpha}) \Leftrightarrow e_i = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \left(\frac{z_{\alpha}}{t}\right)^i S_t(y_{\alpha}).$$

To obtain our statement, it is enough to denote $\tilde{y}_{\alpha} = S_t(y_{\alpha})$.

Now we will prove 1). Let $b \in I(Z)$, $b = b_0 + \dots + b_s$, $\deg_q b_i = i$. Then

$$S_t\left(\frac{b}{t^s}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^s t^{k-s} b_k.$$

We have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t\left(\frac{b}{t^s}\right) = b_s.$$

So $I(Z)^{up} \hookrightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t I(Z)$ (note, that the existence of the limit was proved earlier).

But the dimensions of the quotients are equal. Lemma is proved. $\square$

Proof of the theorem 3.1.

We obtain the theorem as a consequence of the lemmas 3.1. and 3.2. $\square$

4. FUNCTIONAL CONSTRUCTION OF THE FUSION PRODUCT

4.1. The space of the polynomials with a conditions on the diagonals.

Denote by F the space of the $W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_n$ -valued polynomials $f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$, W_i are linear spaces. For all pairs $i < j$ fix a filtration on the tensor product $W_i \otimes W_j$:

$$V^{(i,j)}(0) \subset V^{(i,j)}(1) \subset \dots \subset V^{(i,j)}(p_{i,j}) = W_i \otimes W_j$$

($V^{(i,j)}(k)$ are the subspaces of $W_i \otimes W_j$). Let

$$L^{(i,j)} = W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{W}_i \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{W}_j \otimes \dots \otimes W_n.$$

Define a map $\sigma^{(i,j)} : W_i \otimes W_j \otimes L^{(i,j)} \rightarrow W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_n$:

$$\sigma_{i,j}(v_i \otimes v_j \otimes v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{v}_i \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{v}_j \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) = (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_i \otimes \dots \otimes v_j \otimes \dots \otimes v_n).$$

Let $f \in F$, $s = z_i - z_j$, $t = z_i + z_j$. Consider the decomposition:

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_k s^k f_k^{(i,j)}(t, z_1, \dots, \widehat{z}_i, \dots, \widehat{z}_j, \dots, z_n).$$

Definition 4.1. F^c is a subspace of F , consisting of such f , that for all $i < j$

$$f_k^{(i,j)}(t, z_1, \dots, \widehat{z}_i, \dots, \widehat{z}_j, \dots, z_n) \in \sigma^{(i,j)}(V^{(i,j)}(k) \otimes L^{(i,j)})$$

for all values of arguments. Note, that we regard $V^{(i,j)}(k) = W_i \otimes W_j$ if $k > p_{i,j}$.

Let all W_i be finite-dimensional, $W_i = \mathbb{C}^{a_i}$. Note, that $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ acts on F^c by multiplication.

Lemma 4.1. F^c is a free $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ -module, $\text{rk} F^c = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$.

Proof. Firstly, F is a free $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ -module and $\text{rk} F = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$ (F is generated by the constant polynomials, equals to the base vectors of $\mathbb{C}^{a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_n}$). Secondly, we can obtain F^c from F by the multiple iteration of the following construction. Let W be a linear space equipped with a filtration:

$$V(0) \subset V(1) \dots \subset V(r) = W.$$

Let G be a space of W -valued polynomials. Let $g \in G$, $s = z_1 - z_2$, $t = z_1 + z_2$. Consider the decomposition:

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{i=0}^m s^i g_i(t, z_3, \dots, z_n). \quad (1)$$

Define a subspace $G^c \subset G$:

$$G^c = \{g \in G : g_i(t, z_3, \dots, z_n) \in V(i) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_3} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_n}, \forall t, z_3, \dots, z_n\}.$$

Iterating this construction (substituting in general case 1, 2 by i, j), we can obtain F^c from F . Thus, it is enough to prove, that in above construction, G^c is a free $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ -module.

Let $w_1, \dots, w_l$ be a base of W such, that $w_1, \dots, w_{k(i)}$ form a base of $V(i)$ ($k(0) \leq k(1) \leq \dots \leq k(r) = l$). From the decomposition (1) one can see, that

$$w_1, \dots, w_{k(0)}, sw_{k(0)+1}, \dots, sw_{k(1)}, \dots, s^r w_{k(r-1)+1}, \dots, s^r w_l$$

form a base of G^c as $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ -module. To finish the proof, note, that the dimension doesn't change as we replace G by G^c . $\square$

4.2. Construction of the fusion product. In this subsection W_i are irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules, $W_i = \mathbb{C}^{a_i}$. Let us describe a specific filtration to be used. Let

$$\mathbb{C}^{a_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_j} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\min(a_i, a_j)-1} \mathbb{C}^{a_i+a_j-1-2k}$$

be a decomposition of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module on the irreducible components. Denote

$$V^{(i,j)}(l) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^l \mathbb{C}^{a_i+a_j-1-2k}.$$

Construct F^c , using the above filtrations. Now, we will define an action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ on F^c . Note, that $F = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{C}[z_i] \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_i})$. An $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -action on $\mathbb{C}[z_i] \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_i}$ is the following: $at^k \cdot (f \otimes v) = (z_i^k f) \otimes av$. So, we have a structure of the $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -module on F . One can check, that F^c is a submodule of F .

Let $T = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $R(T)$ be an ideal in $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$, generated by the polynomials $z_i - t_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Definition 4.2. $M(T) = F^c / R(T)F^c$.

Note, that $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -action commutes with a multiplication on the polynomials. Thus, we have a structure of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -module on $M(T)$.

Let u_i be the highest vector of $\mathbb{C}^{a_i}$, $\tilde{u} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n u_i$. Let $u \in F^c$ be a constant polynomial, equals $\tilde{u}$. Recall, that $\mathfrak{A}_n$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ with a base $e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}$.

Theorem 4.1. For all $T \in \mathbb{C}^n$ $M(T) \cong \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}] / I(T)$ as $\mathfrak{A}_n$ -modules. Here vector $u \in M(T)$ is corresponding to $1 \in \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}] / I(T)$.

First, we will construct an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}] / I(T) \rightarrow M(T)$ for $T \in \Sigma$, then for such T , that there exists exactly one pair $i \neq j$, but $t_i = t_j$. And in the end, we will prove our theorem in its whole generality.

Lemma 4.2. Theorem holds for $T \in \Sigma$.

Proof. Note, that if t_i are pairwise distinct, then

$$\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}] / I(T) = \mathbb{C}^{a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_n}$$

as $\mathfrak{A}_n$ -modules (see the beginning of 2.5.). Consider the homomorphism of $\mathfrak{A}_n$ -modules

$$\psi : F^c \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_n}, \quad \psi f = f(t_1, \dots, t_n).$$

One can see, that $\psi(R(T)F^c) = 0$. So, we obtain the homomorphism $\tilde{\psi} : M(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_n}$. Let us show, that it is an isomorphism. Really, $\mathbb{C}^{a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_n}$ is a cyclic module and $\tilde{\psi}(u)$ is a cyclic vector. So $\tilde{\psi}$ is a surjection. In the same time $\dim M(T) = \dim(\mathbb{C}^{a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_n}) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$. Lemma is proved. $\square$

Statement 4.1. *For all $T \in \mathbb{C}^n$ there exists a homomorphism of $\mathfrak{A}_n$ -modules*

$$\phi_T : \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I(T) \rightarrow M(T),$$

mapping 1 to u .

Proof. We must check, that an action of $I(T)$ vanishes vector $u \in M(T)$. It is obvious for $T \in \Sigma$, and because of continuity, it also holds in the general case. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *Theorem 4.1. is true if $n = 2$.*

Proof. Let $T = (t_1, t_2)$. We can put $t_1 = t_2$. Let $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ (general case is an obvious consequence). Note, that it is enough to show, that $M(0, 0)$ is cyclic with a cyclic vector u (see statement 4.1.). So, let $1, y_1, \dots, y_1^{a_1-1}$ be a base of $\mathbb{C}^{a_1}$, $1, y_2, \dots, y_2^{a_2-1}$ a base of $\mathbb{C}^{a_2}$, $e(y_j^i) = y_j^{i+1}$, $j = 1, 2$. In this notations e_0, e_1 acts on F^c by the multiplication on $y_1 + y_2, z_1 y_1 + z_2 y_2$. Note, that $2(z_1 y_1 + z_2 y_2) = t(y_1 + y_2) + s(y_1 - y_2)$ (recall, that $t = z_1 + z_2, s = z_1 - z_2$). Thus, $2e_1 = s(y_1 - y_2)$ in $M(0, 0)$.

Consider a decomposition of $\mathbb{C}^{a_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_2}$ on the irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -components:

$$\mathbb{C}^{a_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_2} = V_0 \oplus \dots \oplus V_r, \dim V_i = k_i = a_1 + a_2 - 1 - 2i.$$

Let $v_1^i, \dots, v_{k_i}^i$ be a base of V_i . Recall, that

$$s^i v_j^i, \quad i = 0, \dots, r, j = 1, \dots, k_i$$

form a base of $M(0, 0)$.

Let us return to e_0 and e_1 . We know, that

$$2^m e_0^l e_1^m = s^m (y_1 - y_2)^m (y_1 + y_2)^l$$

in $M(0, 0)$. But any monomial $y_1^i y_2^j$, $i < a_1, j < a_2$ (i.e. $\mathbb{C}^{a_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_2}$ -base) can be rewritten as a polynomial in variables $y_1 + y_2, y_1 - y_2$. Now, it is easy to show, that $M(0, 0)$ is cyclic. $\square$

Let $T \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $t_1 = t_2$, and there is no other coinciding pairs. Denote $M_2 = F^c/R(t_1, t_2)$, $M_{n-2} = F^c/R(t_3, \dots, t_n)$.

Lemma 4.4. $M(T) \cong M_2 \otimes M_{n-2}$.

Proof. Denote by $\widehat{M(T)} \subset M(T)$ the submodule, generated from u by the action of $\mathfrak{A}_n$. We will show, that there is a surjective homomorphism of $\mathfrak{A}_n$ -modules $\widehat{M(T)} \rightarrow M_2 \otimes M_{n-2}$. In this case $\dim \widehat{M(T)} \geq \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$. But

$$\dim M(T) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i \Rightarrow \widehat{M(T)} = M(T) \cong M_2 \otimes M_{n-2}.$$

So, let us prove the existence of the surjective homomorphism, mapping

$$u \rightarrow \bar{u} = (u_1 \otimes u_2) \otimes (u_3 \otimes \dots \otimes u_n)$$

(u_i is a highest vector of $\mathbb{C}^{a_i}$). $M_2 \otimes M_{n-2}$ is cyclic, so the only thing to be proved, is a fact, that all algebraical relations on e_i which holds in $\widehat{M(T)}$, are also fulfilled in $M_2 \otimes M_{n-2}$. But that is practically obvious. $\square$

Denote by Σ_1 the set of such $T \in \mathbb{C}^n$, that there exists not more than one pair $i < j$, $t_i = t_j$. We have proved the theorem 4.1. for $T \in \Sigma_1$. Before starting the proof in the general case, let us formulate one statement.

Statement 4.2. *There exists such $w_1, \dots, w_l$, $w_i \in F^c$, that for any T their images $w_i^T \in M(T)$ form a base of $M(T)$.*

There exists such $v_1, \dots, v_l$, $v_i \in \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$ that for any T their images $v_i^T \in \mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I(T)$ form a base of $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I(T)$.

Proof. Obvious. $\square$

Proof of the theorem 4.1. $(\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]/I(T) \cong M(T))$

Define

$$h_i^T \in M(T), \quad h_i^T = \phi_T(v_i^T) \quad (\text{see statement 4.1.}).$$

Let $A(T)$ be a transition matrix from w_i^T to h_j^T in $M(T)$. We know, that for $T \in \Sigma_1$ $\det A(T) \neq 0$. We will prove, that $\det A(T)$ is a polynomial. In this case we will obtain, that there is no T : $\det A(T) = 0$, because $\text{codim}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \Sigma_1) = 2$. (In particular, that means, that $\det A(T) = \text{const.}$)

Lemma 4.5. $\det A(T)$ is a polynomial.

Proof. Let $\bar{w}_i^T, \bar{h}_j^T \in F^c$ be a representatives of w_i^T, h_j^T respectively (surely, we can take $\bar{w}_i^T = w_i$). For $T \in \Sigma$ the condition $(h^T) = A(w^T)$ means, that

$$\bar{h}_i^T(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{j=1}^l A_{ij}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \bar{w}_j^T(t_1, \dots, t_n), \quad i = 1, \dots, l.$$

Note, that $\bar{h}_i^T, \bar{w}_j^T$ are polynomials. So A_{ij} are rational functions. Thus

$$\det A(T) = \frac{p(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{q(t_1, \dots, t_n)},$$

where p, q are polynomials. But $\det A(T)$ is everywhere-defined and continuous function. So, $\det A(T)$ is a polynomial. $\square$

Thus, we obtained, that $\det A(T)$ is a polynomial. Theorem is proved. $\square$

4.3. Construction of a dual module $(\pi_1 * \dots * \pi_n)^*$. Recall, that we fixed the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}^{a_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_j} = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\min(a_i, a_j)-1} \mathbb{C}^{a_i+a_j-1-2l} = \bigoplus_{l=0}^s W_l.$$

Introduce the following filtration:

$$V^{(i,j)}(k) = \bigoplus_{l=0}^k W_{s-l}$$

(it is "opposite" to the filtration, used above). Construct $\widehat{F}^c$, using this filtration. Define $L(T) = F^c/R(T)$.

Proposition 4.1. $L(T) \cong M(T)^*$ as $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -modules.

We will construct nondegenerated bilinear $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ -invariant form $(\cdot, \cdot)_T$, coupling $M(T)$ and $L(T)$.

Construction of the form. Note, that there exists a unique bilinear $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -invariant form on $\mathbb{C}^{a_i}$, which takes a value 1, if we will substitute the highest and the lowest vectors. Multiplying such forms for all i , we will obtain a form on $\mathbb{C}^{a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_n}$. Denote it by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Thus, there is a map $\chi : F \otimes F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$,

$$\chi(f_1 \otimes f_2)(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \langle f_1(z_1, \dots, z_n), f_2(z_1, \dots, z_n) \rangle.$$

Let F^c be constructed, using the filtration from 4.2. Simple and direct calculation gives us the following lemma:

Lemma 4.6. *Let $f \in F^c, h \in \widehat{F}^c$. Then*

$$\chi(f \otimes h) \div \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i - z_j)^{\min(a_i, a_j) - 1}.$$

Let $\bar{f} \in M(T), \bar{h} \in L(T)$, f, h are their representatives. Define $(\cdot, \cdot)_T$ as follows:

$$(\bar{f}, \bar{h})_T = \frac{\chi(f \otimes h)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i - z_j)^{\min(a_i, a_j) - 1}}(t_1, \dots, t_n).$$

One can check the correctness of the definition. The proof of the nondegeneracy can be carried out by the same scheme, as a proof of the theorem 4.1.: it is obvious for $T \in \Sigma$, then it can be checked directly for $T \in \Sigma_1$, and for an arbitrary T we obtain the theorem as a consequence of the fact, that our form is everywhere-defined and nondegenerated outside the variety of codimension 2.

5. THE CHARACTER FORMULA

Recall, that in the second section we obtained a recurrent formula for the character of $W^{a_1, \dots, a_n}$ (corollary 2.3.). We write it in the following way:

$$ch(a_1, \dots, a_n, q, zq) = ch(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, q, zq) + zq^n ch(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n - 1, q, zq)$$

(for this relation our formulas will be a little easier). Define $(b_1, \dots, b_s)$, where $b_i = \#\{j \mid a_j = i\}$, $s = \max(a_i)$. Of course $\sum_{l=1}^s b_l = n$. Fix a notation:

$$ch(a_1, \dots, a_n, q, zq) = ch(b_1, \dots, b_s).$$

Lemma 5.1. *The following relation is true:*

$$ch(b_1, \dots, b_s) = \sum_{j=0}^{b_s} ch(b_1, \dots, b_{s-2}, b_{s-1} + j) z^j q^{j(n-b_s+j)} \begin{bmatrix} b_s \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_s \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(b_s)_q!}{(j)_q! (b_s - j)_q!}, \quad (k)_q! = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - q^i).$$

Proof. Recall, that

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = q^k \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q + \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q, \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q + q^{m-k} \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Let us prove our lemma by the induction upon b_s . Let $n = \sum_{i=1}^s b_i$.

$$\begin{aligned}
ch(b_1, \dots, b_s + 1) &= ch(b_1, \dots, b_s) + zq^{n+1}ch(b_1, \dots, b_{s-1} + 1, b_s) = \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{b_s} ch(b_1, \dots, b_{s-1} + j) z^j q^{j(n-b_s+j)} \begin{bmatrix} b_s \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q + \\
&\quad + zq^{n+1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{b_s} ch(b_1, \dots, b_{s-1} + j + 1) z^j q^{j(n+1-b_s+j)} \begin{bmatrix} b_s \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \right) = \\
&= ch(b_1, \dots, b_{s-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{b_s} ch(b_1, \dots, b_{s-1} + j) \times \\
&\quad \times \left(z^j q^{j(n-b_s+j)} \begin{bmatrix} b_s \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q + zq^{n+1} z^{j-1} q^{(j-1)(n-b_s+j)} \begin{bmatrix} b_s \\ j-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \right) + \\
&\quad + ch(b_1, \dots, b_{s-1} + b_s + 1) zq^{n+1} z^{b_s} q^{b_s(n+1)} = \\
&= ch(b_1, \dots, b_{s-1}) + \sum_{j=0}^{b_s} ch(b_1, \dots, b_{s-1} + j) z^j q^{j(n-b_s+j)} \left(\begin{bmatrix} b_s \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q + q^{b_s-j+1} \begin{bmatrix} b_s \\ j-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \right) + \\
&\quad + ch(b_1, \dots, b_{s-1} + b_s + 1) z^{b_s+1} q^{b_s(n+1)+n+1} = \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{b_s+1} ch(b_1, \dots, b_{s-1} + j) z^j q^{j(n-b_s+j)} \begin{bmatrix} b_s + 1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma is proved. $\square$

Theorem 5.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
ch(b_1, \dots, b_s) &= \\
&= \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{b_s} \sum_{j_{s-2}=0}^{b_{s-1}+j_{s-1}} \dots \sum_{j_1=0}^{b_2+j_2} z^{\sum_{l=1}^{s-1} j_l} q^{\sum_{l=1}^{s-1} j_l(b_1+\dots+b_l+j_l)} \times \\
&\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} b_s \\ j_{s-1} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} b_{s-1} + j_{s-1} \\ j_{s-2} \end{bmatrix}_q \dots \begin{bmatrix} b_2 + j_2 \\ j_1 \end{bmatrix}_q.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is a consequence from the lemma 5.1. $\square$

Now, we will consider the case $a_1 = \dots = a_n = k$. Our goal is to write a formula for the character of the space

$$W^k = \mathbb{C}[e_0, e_1, \dots] / e(z)^k$$

(notations from the section 2). Recall, that W_n^k is a subalgebra of W^k , generated by the action of $\mathbb{C}[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$ on 1. We will obtain the character of W^k as a limit of the characters of W_n^k while $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 5.2. (Gordon's formula).

$$ch(W^k, q, zq) = \sum_{N=(n_1, \dots, n_{k-1})} z^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} in_i} q^{B(N, N)} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (n_i)_{q!}},$$

where B is a bilinear form with a matrix

$$B_{i,j} = \min(i, j).$$

Remark 5.1. $B(N, N) = \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} (n_{k-1} + \dots + n_{k-l})^2$.

Proof. By the theorem 5.1. we have:

$$\begin{aligned} ch(W_n^k, q, zq) &= \sum_{j_{k-1}=0}^n \sum_{j_{k-2}=0}^{j_{k-1}} \dots \sum_{j_1=0}^{j_2} z^{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} j_l} q^{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} j_l^2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} j_{k-1} \\ j_{k-2} \end{bmatrix}_q \dots \begin{bmatrix} j_2 \\ j_1 \end{bmatrix}_q = \\ &= \sum_{j_{k-1}=0}^n \sum_{j_{k-2}=0}^{j_{k-1}} \dots \sum_{j_1=0}^{j_2} z^{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} j_l} q^{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} j_l^2} \frac{(n)_q!}{(n - j_{k-1})_q! (j_1)_q! \prod_{l=2}^{k-1} (j_l - j_{l-1})_q!}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us change the parameters of the summation

$$i_1 = j_1, \quad i_l = j_l - j_{l-1}, \quad l = 2, \dots, k-1.$$

Denote $I = (i_1, \dots, i_{k-1})$. Note, that $j_l = \sum_{p=1}^l i_p$. So

$$ch(W_n^k, q, zq) = \sum_{i_1=0}^n \sum_{i_2=0}^{n-i_1} \dots \sum_{i_{k-1}=0}^{n-\sum_{p=1}^{k-2} i_p} z^{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} (k-l)i_l} q^{\widehat{B}(I,I)} \frac{(n)_q!}{(n - \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} i_p)_q!} \frac{1}{\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} (i_l)_q!} \quad (1),$$

where $\widehat{B}_{i,j} = B_{k-i,k-j}$. Note, that the z -degree of the summands, numbered by the indexes

$$(i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}), \quad \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} i_p > \frac{n}{2}$$

is greater, than $\frac{n}{2}$. Moreover, if $\sum_{p=1}^{k-1} i_p \leq \frac{n}{2}$, then

$$\deg_q \left(\frac{(n)_q!}{(n - \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} i_p)_q!} - 1 \right) \geq \frac{n}{2}.$$

Thus, the character of W^k is a limit of

$$\sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_{k-1} \leq \frac{n}{2}} z^{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} (k-l)i_l} q^{\widehat{B}(I,I)} \frac{1}{\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} (i_l)_q!}$$

while $n \rightarrow \infty$. So, we obtain

$$ch(W^k, q, zq) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_2=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{i_{k-1}=0}^{\infty} z^{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} (k-l)i_l} q^{\widehat{B}(I,I)} \frac{1}{\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} (i_l)_q!}.$$

To complete the proof, redefine $i_\alpha = i_{k-\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] B.Feigin, S.Loktev, On generalized Kostka polynomials and quantum Verlinde rule, q-alg 9812093.
- [2] B.Feigin, S.Loktev, On finitization of Gordon identities, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **35**, 53-61 (2001).
- [3] Anne Schilling, S.Ole Warnaar, Supernomial coefficients, polynomial identities and q-series, *Ramanujan J.* **2**, 459-494 (1998); q-alg 9701007.
- [4] S.O.Warnaar, The Andrews-Gordon identities and q-multinomial coefficients, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **184**, 203-232 (1997); q-alg 9601012
- [5] B.Feigin, A.Stoyanovski, Quasi-particles models for the representations of Lie algebras and geometry of flag manifold, hep-th/9308079, RIMS 942; Functional models for the representations of current algebras and the semi-infinite Schubert cells, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **28** (1994), 55-72.
- [6] B.Feigin, T.Miwa, Extended vertex operator algebras and monomial bases, q-alg 9901067.
- [7] V.Chari, A. Pressley, Weyl modules for classical and quantum affine algebras, q-alg 0004174.

B.F.: LANDAU INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS, CHERNOGOLOVKA, 142432, RUSSIA.
E-mail address: feigin@mccme.ru

.F.: INDEPENDENT UNIVERSITY OF MOSCOW, BOL'SHOI VLAS'EVSKII PER. 7, RUSSIA, MOSCOW.
E-mail address: evgfeig@mccme.ru